Approved For Release 2008/02/25/1-17392-00882R000100300026-7

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	General Counsel	22 Octob	er 1953
NSC REVIEW				
25X1A9A	A 1. Sometime last week Chief of the Flans Staff, FI, called to advise that he had gotten some indication that the Department of the Army was attempting to argue the definition of "agreed activities" as well as			
	sound nim out as	to whether any s	uch study is being conducted.	
25X1A9A	which concerned his activities were in essence no different than any other agreements between CIA and the intelligence services of other nations and were not in any way to be considered as a treaty or legally binding on the parties. I assured him that was the general view taken by the Office of the			
25X1A9A				
	General Counsel and that generally the courts had held that the conduct of foreign affairs including the subjects of espionage and counter-espionage are not subjects for either judicial or congressional intervention but were matters of the Department or Agency concerned in the Executive.			
25X1A9A	next point concerned the question raised by another security agency of the U.S. Government which stated that by virtue of NSCID-9 it had some authority with respect to dissemination of information collected by CIA. It can be argued, of course, that NSCID-9 does conflict with certain of the fundamental principles set forth in NSCID-5. However, no such directive			
25X1A9A	can override the provisions of law relating to the protection of intelliger (9A sources and methodswas satisfied after some discussion on both the above points and stated he was glad to get some confirmation of his own viewpoint.			
	4. The above might indicate a simple problem of guardhouse lawyers or it might indicate some serious studies relating to definition of terms and jurisdictions.			
	Ex/Offr/DD/A:JS O - Addressee 1 - DD/A Subjec L - DD/A Chrono	t	JOHN S. W.	

25X1